Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
Business Payment Systems, LLC)	File No. EB- 06-TC-1630
Apparent Liability for Forfeiture)))	NAL/Acct. No. 200832170011 FRN: 0017433145
)	

NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY FOR FORFEITURE

Adopted: February 15, 2008 Released: February 15, 2008

By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. In this *Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture* ("NAL")¹, we find that Business Payment Systems, LLC ("Business Payment Systems")² apparently willfully or repeatedly violated section 227 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("Act"), and the Commission's related rules and orders, by delivering at least two unsolicited advertisements to the telephone facsimile machine of at least one consumer.³ Based on the facts and circumstances surrounding these apparent violations, we find that Business Payment Systems is apparently liable for a forfeiture in the amount of \$24,500.00.

See 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1). T

¹ See 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1). The Commission has the authority under this section of the Act to assess a forfeiture against any person who has "willfully or repeatedly failed to comply with any of the provisions of this Act or of any rule, regulation, or order issued by the Commission under this Act" See also 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(5) (stating that the Commission has the authority under this section of the Act to assess a forfeiture penalty against any person who does not hold a license, permit, certificate or other authorization issued by the Commission or an applicant for any of those listed instrumentalities so long as such person (A) is first issued a citation of the violation charged; (B) is given a reasonable opportunity for a personal interview with an official of the Commission, at the field office of the Commission nearest to the person's place of residence; and (C) subsequently engages in conduct of the type described in the citation).

² According to publicly available information, Business Payment Systems is also doing business as Second Source Funding, LLC. Therefore, all references in this NAL to Business Payment Systems encompass Second Source Funding, LLC as well as Business Payment Systems. Business Payment Systems has offices at 150 Broadway, Third Floor, New York, NY 10038. Sam Chanin, CEO, is listed as the contact person for Business Payment Systems. Accordingly, all references in this NAL to Business Payment Systems also encompass the foregoing individual and all other principals and officers of this entity, as well as the corporate entity itself.

³ See 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(3); see also Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Report and Order and Third Order on Reconsideration, 21 FCC Rcd 3787 (2006).

II. BACKGROUND

- States, or any person outside the United States if the recipient is within the United States . . . to use any telephone facsimile machine, computer, or other device to send, to a telephone facsimile machine, an unsolicited advertisement." The term "unsolicited advertisement" is defined in the Act and the Commission's rules as "any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person's prior express invitation or permission in writing or otherwise." Under the Commission's Rules, an "established business relationship" exception permits a party to deliver a message to a consumer if the sender has an established business relationship with the recipient *and* the sender obtained the number of the facsimile machine through the voluntary communication by the recipient, directly to the sender, within the context of the established business relationship, or through a directory, advertisement, or a site on the Internet to which the recipient voluntarily agreed to make available its facsimile number for public distribution.
- 3. On November 8, 2006, in response to one or more consumer complaints alleging that Business Payment Systems had faxed unsolicited advertisements, the Bureau issued a citation⁸ to Business Payment Systems, pursuant to section 503(b)(5) of the Act.⁹ The Bureau cited Business Payment Systems for using a telephone facsimile machine, computer, or other device, to send unsolicited advertisements for loans to a telephone facsimile machine, in violation of section 227 of the Act and the Commission's related rules and orders. The citation, which was served by certified mail, return receipt requested, warned Business Payment Systems that subsequent violations could result in the imposition of monetary forfeitures of up to \$11,000 per violation, and included a copy of the consumer complaints that formed the basis of the citation.¹⁰ The citation informed Business Payment Systems that within thirty (30) days of the date of the citation, it could either request an interview with Commission staff, or could provide a written statement responding to the citation. In response to the citation, the company's counsel acknowledged receipt of the citation and stated that Business Payment Systems "is not [sic] and shall not engage in any fax solicitations which will violate the citation or the Telephone Consumer Protection Act "11"
- 4. Despite the citation's warning that subsequent violations could result in the imposition of monetary forfeitures, we have received an additional consumer complaint indicating that Business

⁴ 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(3).

⁵ 47 U.S.C. §227(a)(4); 47 C.F.R. §64.1200 (f)(13).

⁶ An "established business relationship" is defined as a prior or existing relationship formed by a voluntary two-way communication "with or without an exchange of consideration, on the basis of an inquiry, application, purchase or transaction by the business or residential subscriber regarding products or services offered by such person or entity, which relationship has not been previously terminated by either party." 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(f)(5).

⁷ See 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C); 47 C.F.R. § 64 (a)(3)(i), (ii).

⁸ Citation from Kurt A. Schroeder, Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Consumers Division, Enforcement Bureau, File No. EB-06-TC-1630, issued to Business Payment Systems on Nov. 8, 2006.

⁹ See 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(5) (authorizing the Commission to issue citations to persons who do not hold a license, permit, certificate or other authorization issued by the Commission or an applicant for any of those listed instrumentalities for violations of the Act or of the Commission's rules and orders).

¹⁰ Commission staff mailed the citation to Business Payment Systems, LLC, Attn: Sam Chanin, CEO, 150 Broadway, Floor 3, New York, New York 10038-4374. *See* n.2, *supra*.

¹¹ Letter from Daniel B. Faizakoff, Counsel to Business Payment Systems, LLC, to Kurt A. Schroeder, Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Consumers Division, Enforcement Bureau, dated Nov. 22, 2006.

Payment Systems continued to engage in such conduct after receiving the citation.¹² We base our action here specifically on a complaint filed by a consumer establishing that Business Payment Systems continued to send three unsolicited advertisements to telephone facsimile machines after the date of the citation.¹³ In addition, the record establishes that Business Payment Systems sent two of these unsolicited faxes to the consumer subsequent to his request that BPS discontinue its faxed advertisements.

5. Section 503(b) of the Act authorizes the Commission to assess a forfeiture of up to \$11,000 for each violation of the Act or of any rule, regulation, or order issued by the Commission under the Act by a non-common carrier or other entity not specifically designated in section 503 of the Act. ¹⁴ In exercising such authority, we are to take into account "the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violation and, with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, any history of prior offenses, ability to pay, and such other matters as justice may require."

III. DISCUSSION

A. Violations of the Commission's Rules Restricting Unsolicited Facsimile Advertisements

6. We find that Business Payment Systems apparently violated section 227 of the Act and the Commission's related rules and orders by using a telephone facsimile machine, computer, or other device to send at least two unsolicited advertisements to the consumer identified in the Appendix. This NAL is based on evidence that a consumer received unsolicited fax advertisements from Business Payment Systems *after* the Bureau's citation. The facsimile transmissions advertise a commercial loan. Further, according to the complaint, the consumer neither had an established business relationship with Business Payment Systems nor gave Business Payment Systems permission to send the facsimile transmissions. The faxes at issue here therefore fall within the definition of an "unsolicited advertisement." Based on the entire record, including the consumer complaint, we conclude that Business Payment Systems apparently violated section 227 of the Act and the Commission's related rules and orders by sending three unsolicited advertisements to one consumer's facsimile machine.

¹² See Appendix for a listing of the consumer complaint against Business Payment Systems requesting Commission action.

¹³ We note that evidence of additional instances of unlawful conduct by Business Payment Systems may form the basis of subsequent enforcement action.

¹⁴ Section 503(b)(2)(C) provides for forfeitures up to \$10,000 for each violation in cases not covered by subparagraph (A) or (B), which address forfeitures for violations by licensees and common carriers, among others. See 47 U.S.C. § 503(b). In accordance with the inflation adjustment requirements contained in the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996, Pub. L. 104-134, Sec. 31001, 110 Stat. 1321, the Commission implemented an increase of the maximum statutory forfeiture under section 503(b)(2)(C) to \$11,000. See 47 C.F.R. §1.80(b)(3); Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Commission's Rules and Adjustment of Forfeiture Maxima to Reflect Inflation, 15 FCC Rcd 18221 (2000); see also Amendment of Section 1.80(b) of the Commission's Rules and Adjustment of Forfeiture Maxima to Reflect Inflation, 19 FCC Rcd 10945 (2004) (this recent amendment of section 1.80(b) to reflect inflation left the forfeiture maximum for this type of violator at \$11,000).

¹⁵ 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(2)(D); The Commission's Forfeiture Policy Statement and Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Rules to Incorporate the Forfeiture Guidelines, Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 17087, 17100-01 para. 27 (1997) (Forfeiture Policy Statement), recon. denied, 15 FCC Rcd 303 (1999).

¹⁶ See, complaint dated May 25, 2007, from Frederic Blum (stating that he has never done any business with the fax advertiser, never made an inquiry or application to the fax advertiser, never gave permission for the company to send the fax, and requested the company not to fax an advertisement). The complainant involved in this action is listed in the Appendix below.

¹⁷ See 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(4); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(f)(13) (definition previously at § 64.1200(f)(10)).

B. Proposed Forfeiture

7. We find that Business Payment Systems is apparently liable for a forfeiture in the amount of \$24,500.00. Although the *Commission's Forfeiture Policy Statement* does not establish a base forfeiture amount for violating the prohibition against using a telephone facsimile machine to send unsolicited advertisements, the Commission has previously considered \$4,500 per unsolicited fax advertisement to be an appropriate base amount. We apply that base amount to one of the apparent violations. In addition, where the consumer requests that the company stop sending facsimile messages, and the company continues to send them, the Commission has previously considered \$10,000 per unsolicited fax advertisement the appropriate forfeiture for such egregious violations. Here, a consumer specifically requested that Business Payment Systems cease sending facsimiles. Notwithstanding this request, an additional two facsimiles were sent to this consumer. Thus, we apply the \$10,000 amount to each of the two apparent violations. Thus, a total forfeiture of \$24,500.00 is proposed. Business Payment Systems will have the opportunity to submit evidence and arguments in response to this NAL to show that no forfeiture should be imposed or that some lesser amount should be assessed.²⁰

IV. CONCLUSION AND ORDERING CLAUSES

- 8. We have determined that Business Payment Systems, LLC apparently violated section 227 of the Act and the Commission's related rules and orders by using a telephone facsimile machine, computer, or other device to send at least three unsolicited advertisements to the consumer identified in the Appendix. We have further determined that Business Payment Systems, LLC is apparently liable for a forfeiture in the amount of \$24,500.00.
- 9. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to section 503(b) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 503(b), and section 1.80 of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.80, and under the authority delegated by sections 0.111 and 0.311 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.111, 0.311, that Business Payment Systems, LLC is hereby NOTIFIED of this APPARENT LIABILITY FOR A FORFEITURE in the amount of \$24,500.00 for willful or repeated violations of section 227(b)(1)(C) of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C), sections 64.1200(a)(3) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(3), and the related orders described in the paragraphs above.
- 10. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT, pursuant to section 1.80 of the Commission's rules,²¹ within thirty (30) days of the release date of this *Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture*, Business Payment Systems, LLC SHALL PAY the full amount of the proposed forfeiture or SHALL FILE a written statement seeking reduction or cancellation of the proposed forfeiture.
- 11. Payment of the forfeiture must be made by credit card through the Commission's Revenue and Receivables Operations Group at (202) 418-1995, or by check or similar instrument, payable to the order of the Federal Communications Commission. The payment must include the

¹⁸ See Get-Aways, Inc., Notice of Apparent Liability For Forfeiture, 15 FCC Rcd 1805 (1999); Get-Aways, Inc., Forfeiture Order, 15 FCC Rcd 4843 (2000); see also US Notary, Inc., Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture, 15 Rcd 16999 (2000); US Notary, Inc., Forfeiture Order, 16 FCC Rcd 18398 (2001); Tri-Star Marketing, Inc., Notice of Apparent Liability For Forfeiture, 15 FCC Rcd 11295 (2000); Tri-Star Marketing, Inc., Forfeiture Order, 15 FCC Rcd 23198 (2000).

¹⁹ See Carolina Liquidators, Inc., Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture, 15 FCC 16,837, 16,842 (2000); 21st Century Fax(es) Ltd., AKA 20th Century Fax(es), 15 FCC Rcd 24,406, 24,411 (2000).

²⁰ See 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(4)(C); 47 C.F.R. § 1.80(f)(3).

²¹ 47 C.F.R. § 1.80.

Account Number and FRN Number referenced above. Payment by check or money order may be mailed to Federal Communications Commission, P.O. Box 979088, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000. Payment by overnight mail may be sent to U.S. Bank – Government Lockbox #979088, SL-MO-C2-GL, 1005 Convention Plaza, St. Louis, MO 63101. Payment[s] by wire transfer may be made to ABA Number 021030004, receiving bank Federal Reserve Bank of New York, and account number 27000001. Requests for full payment under an installment plan should be sent to: Chief Financial Officer --Financial Operations, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 1-A625, Washington, D.C. 20554. Questions, please contact the Financial Operations Group Help Desk at 1-877-480-3201 or Email: ARINQUIRIES@fcc.gov.

- The response, if any, must be mailed both to the Office of the Secretary, Federal 12. Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554, ATTN: Enforcement Bureau – Telecommunications Consumers Division, and to Colleen Heitkamp, Chief, Telecommunications Consumers Division, Enforcement Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554, and must include the NAL/Acct. No. referenced in the caption.
- The Commission will not consider reducing or canceling a forfeiture in response to a claim of inability to pay unless the petitioner submits: (1) federal tax returns for the most recent threeyear period; (2) financial statements prepared according to generally accepted accounting practices; or (3) some other reliable and objective documentation that accurately reflects the petitioner's current financial status. Any claim of inability to pay must specifically identify the basis for the claim by reference to the financial documentation submitted.
- Requests for payment of the full amount of this *Notice of Apparent Liability for* Forfeiture under an installment plan should be sent to: Chief, Revenue and Receivables Operations Group, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554.²²
- 15. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this *Notice of Apparent Liability for* Forfeiture shall be sent by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested to Business Payment Systems, LLC, Attention: Sam Chanin, CEO, 150 Broadway, Third Floor, New York, NY 10038-4374.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Kris Anne Monteith Chief, Enforcement Bureau

²² 47 C.F.R. § 1.1914.

APPENDIX

Complainant received facsimile solicitations	Violation Date(s)
Fredric Blum	April 2007

Complainant received facsimile solicitations	Violation Date(s)	
after requesting no more be sent		
Fredric Blum	May 25, 2007 (two faxes)	